

Artificial Intelligence

Propositional Logic

Playing with it in Python



Model

- Model?
 - Set of Boolean propositions
 - Set of assignments
- Common representations
 - $\{ x, y \}$
 - Meaning a model where x is True and y is also True
 - Any other proposition not represented is assumed False
 - $x/\text{True } y/\text{True}$
 - Meaning a model where x is True, y is also True
 - No other propositions exist
- So:
 - $\{ x, y \}$ is set of assignments for the propositions $\{ x, y, z \}$
 - Because, in this notation, omitted propositions are assumed False
 - $X/\text{True } y/\text{True}$ is NOT a set of assignments for $\{ x, y, z \}$
 - Because it makes no assignment to z



Is an expression/formula satisfiable?

- Yes, if there is a model that makes it True

Model \models Satisfaction

- Left side
 - Model / assignments
- Right side
 - Boolean expression
 - Logical formula
- Satisfaction?
 - If the assignments make the expression True
 - "the assignments satisfy the expression"
- Exercises with $M = \{x/\text{True}, y/\text{False}\}$ - signal the satisfaction case(s)
 - $M \models (x \Rightarrow y)$
 - $M \models (x \text{ and } y)$
 - $M \models y$
 - $M \models (x \text{ or } y)$

Model \models Satisfaction

- (solution) Exercise with $M = \{x/\text{True}, y/\text{False}\}$ - signal the satisfaction case(s)
 - $M \models (x \Rightarrow y)$
 - $M \models (x \text{ and } y)$
 - $M \models y$
 - $M \models (x \text{ or } y)$

Logical consequence

- AKA Logical Implication
- CNF = Conjunctive Normal Form
- $L_{exp 1}, \dots, L_{exp n} \models R_{exp 1}, \dots, R_{exp n}$
- All the models that satisfy the left-side, must also satisfy the right-side
 - But the right-side might satisfy more models
- Exercise: which are logical consequences?
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), q \models p$
 - $(p \text{ and } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q), (\text{not } p) \models q$
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), p \models q$



Logical consequence

- (solution) Exercise: which are logical consequences?
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), q \models p$
 - $(p \text{ and } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q), (\text{not } p) \models q$
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), p \models q$

Logical equivalence

- $L \equiv R$
- $L \models R$
- $R \models L$
- Both must happen
- Exercise - signal the logical equivalence case(s)

$$((\neg u) \vee v) \equiv (\neg(u \wedge (\neg v)))$$

$$(a \wedge (b \vee c)) \equiv ((a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c))$$

$$((\neg x) \wedge (\neg y)) \equiv (\neg(x \wedge y))$$

$$((\neg x) \vee (\neg y)) \equiv (\neg(x \vee y))$$

$$((\neg u) \wedge v) \equiv (\neg(u \vee (\neg v)))$$

Logical equivalence

- (solution) Exercise - signal the logical equivalence case(s)
- @Left, equivalent
- @Right, not equivalent

$$((\neg u) \vee v) \equiv (\neg(u \wedge (\neg v)))$$

$$(a \wedge (b \vee c)) \equiv ((a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c))$$

$$((\neg x) \wedge (\neg y)) \equiv (\neg(x \wedge y))$$

$$((\neg x) \vee (\neg y)) \equiv (\neg(x \vee y))$$

$$((\neg u) \wedge v) \equiv (\neg(u \vee (\neg v)))$$

Python challenge

- Check companion file `am_logical_helper.py`
- Study companion class `LogicalHelper`
- Solve the exercises in these slides using an instance of the class